Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,779	WHITTAKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.	(3)	
(2) Shiela A. Loggins.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 April 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 12/	/2/09	
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:  Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Amendments to the claims were suggested to patentably disting the instant Example. Shiela Loggins agreed to submit a suppler	uish over the prior art of record in	view of the test results shown in
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand.</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Peter A. Hruskoci/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)